



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/055,452	10/26/2001	Daryl Carvis Cromer	RPS9 2001 0056	5762
7590 11/03/2004 .			EXAMINER	
IBM Corporation, Intellectual Property Law			PERVEEN, REHANA	
Personal and Pr	rinting Systems Group			
Dept. 9CCA/Bldg. 002-2			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 12195			2116	
Research Trians	ale Park NC 27700		25	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/055,452	CROMER ET AL.	CROMER ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
<u> </u>		Rehana Perveen	2116				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicat or Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	ldress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA' nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) do period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor or to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may ation. ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) No y statute, cause the application to become	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timel MONTHS from the mailing date of this c	ly. xommunication.			
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n 26 October 2001.					
		☐ This action is non-final.					
3)	·						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the appl 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are we Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1,11 and 21</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) <u>2-10,12-20 and 22-30</u> is/are ob Claim(s) are subject to restriction	vithdrawn from consideration.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the ExThe drawing(s) filed on <u>26 October 2001</u> Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ to the drawing(s) be held in abe correction is required if the drawi	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ing(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for the All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for	numents have been received. numents have been received in ne priority documents have be Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No en received in this National	Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)	·					
1) 🛛 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) •	4) 🔲 Intervie	w Summary (PTO-413)				
3) 🔀 Infor	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-t mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC r No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/26/01</u> .	948) Paper N	No(s)/Mail Date  of Informal Patent Application (PT)	O-152)			

Art Unit: 2116

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Objections

Claims 1 and 2 are objected to because of the following informalities: claim 1, line 7, after — device —, the rest of the text seem to be part of claim 2; and claim 2, lines 1-3, does not refer back to claim 1, however, seem to refer back by claiming further steps of step (a) of claim 1. Appropriate correction is required. For examination purpose, it is assumed that claim 2 is dependent on claim 1 and the text of claim 1, line 7 is the starting phrase of claim 2.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 11, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Crisan, Patent No. 6,292,890.

As to claim 1, Crisan teaches initiating a boot sequence in a computer system (col. 3 lines 29-39), determining whether a first device of a plurality of devices is one of

a bootable device and a nonbootable device (col. 3 lines 39-42), and performing a clean restart of the boot sequence if the device is a nonbootable device (col. 2 lines 61-65), wherein the nonbootable device is bypassed during the clean restart (col. 7 lines 1-20).

Claim 11 is directed to a system implementing the method of claim 1, and claim 21 is directed to a computer readable medium of claim 1. Crisan teaches the claim as set forth in claim 1. Therefore, Crisan also teaches the system as set forth in claim 11. Further, Crisan also teaches the computer readable medium as set forth in claim 21.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 2 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims, and resolving the claim objection as stated above.

Claims 3-10, 12-20, and 22-30 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rehana Perveen whose telephone number is 571-272-3676. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am - 4:30pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/055,452

Art Unit: 2116

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

Page 4

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

supervisor, Lynne H Browne can be reached on 571-272-3670. The fax phone number

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rehana Perveen

**Primary Patent Examiner** 

**Technology Center 2100**